

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 \* \* \*

6 COMPOSITE RESOURCES, INC.,

Case No. 2:17-cv-01755-MMD-VCF

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

ORDER

9 RECON MEDICAL, LLC,

10 Defendant.

11 Before the Court is Defendant Recon Medical, LLC's motion for clarification.<sup>1</sup>  
12 (ECF No. 125.) The Court grants the motion, and clarifies that the term "body part" is  
13 not a limitation as used throughout the asserted claims. (See ECF No. 69 at 4 (making  
14 this argument).) To be clear, the term "body part" is not a limitation or element of the  
15 asserted claims, both as used in the preambles of the asserted patents and throughout  
16 the asserted claims.

17 It is therefore ordered that Defendant's motion for clarification (ECF No. 125) is  
18 granted. The term "body part" is not a limitation as used throughout the asserted claims.

19  
20 DATED THIS 19<sup>th</sup> day of November 2018.

21  
22 

23 MIRANDA M. DU  
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25  
26  
27  
28 <sup>1</sup>The Court also reviewed Plaintiff Composite Resources, Inc.'s response (ECF No. 133). Defendant did not file a reply.